



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		,		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,882	12/28/2000	Luke E. Girard	42390P10236	9419
8791	7590 07/01/2003			
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			EXAMINER	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025		DANG, KHANH NMN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2181	<u></u>
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/752,882	GIRARD, LUKE E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Khanh Dang	2181				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however reply within the statutory minim od will apply and will expire SI tute, cause the application to b	or, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠	This action is non-fina	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) lotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ther:				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5				

Art Unit: 2181

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is directed to a structure. However, the essential structural cooperative relationships between elements in the claim have been omitted, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01.

With regard to claim 4, it is not ascertained what may be "a second pre-operating system software program" and its relationships with other recited elements in the claim. Such "pre- operating system software program" has not been properly defined or recited in the claim.

In claim 8, it is not ascertained what may be "a second operating present software program" and its relationships with other recited elements in the claim. Such "second operating present software program" has not been properly defined or recited in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 2181

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Frank, Jr. et al.

It is first noted that similar claims will be grouped together to avoid repetition in explanation.

As broadly drafted and at best the Examiner can ascertain from the language of the claims, these claims do not define any structure/step that differs from Frank, Jr. et al.

Art Unit: 2181

With regard to claims 1, 3, and 6, Frank, Jr. et al. discloses a computing device comprising: an operating system (microprocessor 330, 430 executes program code, including operating system code and application program code, and reads or writes data in conjunction with code execution); a pre-operating system software program (in Frank, Jr. et al., a small BIOS program stored in a ROM 238. The BIOS program reads a default area of the disk which stores a boot program, known as a boot record, and stores the program in the memory array. The microprocessor (330, 430) then executes the boot program to load an operating system; an operating system present software program (in Frank, Jr. et al., a disk control program, for example); a protected storage medium configured to enable the pre-operating system software program to pass an information to the operating system present software program (in Frank, Jr. et al., microprocessor 310, for example, executes a disk control program to initialize the disk drive. A portion of the storage capacity on disks 303 is partitioned to provide a protected area of disk addresses which are known to the disk control program, but are inaccessible to host computer 330). See also at least claim 1. With regard to claim 2, Frank, Jr. et al. further disclose a first interface (324, for example) to provide the pre-operating system software program access to the protected storage medium; and a second interface (320, for example) to provide the operating system present software program access to the protected storage medium. With regard to claim 4, in Frank, Jr. et al., any pre-OS application program executed during initial boot process before the OS is loaded including those associated with various peripheral attached to the host computer) is readable as the so-called "second "pre-operating software program." With

Art Unit: 2181

regard to claims 5, 7, a secure boot of a host computer system is provided from a protected area of a disk. With regard to claim 8, in Frank, Jr. et al., any application that runs while the OS is present is readable as the so-called "second operating system present software program." With regard to claims 9 and 10, the protected area is sufficient to store an image source 304 suitable to recreate a fully functional operating image in memory 340. When computer system 300 is initialized, such as following a power-up sequence, host interface controller 320 asserts a state-control signal 337 which is translated in host interface 334. With regard to claims 11-25, one using the system of Franks, Jr. et al., or the system of Frank, Jr. et al. would have performed the same method steps set forth in claim 11-25.

U.S. Patent Nos. 6,138,239 to Veil, 5,844,986 to Davis, and 5,022,077 to Bealkowski et al. are cited as relevant art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Khanh Dang at telephone number 703-308-0211.

junches promes

Khanh Dang Primary Examiner